**OGC HAS REVIEWED.** Approved For Release 2002/09/03 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000300110011-9

23 Pebruary 1957

	MERCHANIUM FOR: Lawrence R. Houston
	25X1A9a
	<b>k</b>
	<del>25X1A9a</del> 25X1A2e
	SUDJECT : Claim of
	25X1A2e
	1. As an outgrowth of termination by FE she
	suffered a considerable loss of personal property. This loss
	would appear to be a basis for limbility by the Agency. For
•	convenience two separate claims were made. First a claim
	25 represed intervally on the basis of stabonents submitted by
	for loss or damage to bougohold effects. The engint
	CLAIMed was about \$3,000 and the Meadquarters Board of Survey
	recommended approval to the DD/S in the amount of about \$2,000.
	I understand he has approved this claim and that it is held
25X1A9a	25X1A2e 25X1A3a
	2.
	files in the amount of \$15.000. Her attorney forwarded this
	to me wat I received it on 21 February in three comise. The
Ä	letter to me and the original is attached to Houston's copy of
25X1A9a	this monorandum. A cerbon is attached to the copies ofand 25X1A9a
25/ 1/49a	CANADAM VINCE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL
	the question of liability some even clearer than in the claim
	already approved since, in addition to the facts considered by
	the Headquarters Board of Survey in the earlier claim, in the case of these files there appears to have been an admission by the CIA
7	agent in custody that he had personally destroyed these files
	thinking them of no value.
	25X1A2e
	3. On 4 December 1956 I met with and her attorney in
25X1A9a	COMPANY WILL COT
	copies of my report on the matter and a series of the series of
25X1A9a	attached to copy of this memorandum.
	The real Marie in the state of
	4. The recommendation of the Readquarters Board of Survey
	at the time it considered this case was that a fixed dollar amount
	be authorized in reinbursement for the loss and damage of effects, and that for the loss of files approved be given to the payment
	of such amount as might be negotiated by the Office of General
	and the second of the second of the control of Colleges.

Counsel. By recommendation in the memorandum of the meeting of a December remains valid. I suggest that \$5,000 be offered in full settlement not only of the two major items mentioned but of two minor items, a debit and a credit raised in paragraph 3 of the memorandum just referred to, which amounts FE can determine specifically.

5. Since the Headquarters Board of Survey has made its findings of fact and liability on the circumstances from which both claims arose and has recommended payment subject only to the determination of amount, it would appear that the next suitable action is submission of a recommendation to the BCI, since the financial authority of the BD/S would be exceeded in this claim. Thereafter, if the BCI approves a \$5,000 settlement, OGC could propose such a settlement to \_\_\_\_\_\_ attorney. If this amount is not acceptable it may be necessary to submit the matter again to the BCI for suthority to pay a higher amount. Perhaps this repetitiveness could be avoided if the General Counsel and the DD/S secured from the BCI authority to settle up to a higher amount, whatever is felt justified under the circumstances, with the expectation that within that limit they would continue to seek the most advantageous settlement.

6. Although FE must provide some detailed information, it would appear that the situation has gone beyond fact-finding to questions of policy, and that OCC and DD/E are the most concerned with taking any additional steps that may be necessary.

25X1A	9a		
0	rd arbarech	(Canasan I	Francisco de la la companya de la co
A. 18	计20 经图15	General	それの問題ので

## Attachmente



25X1A2e